

UCCSN Board of Regents' Meeting Minutes January 12-13, 1989

01-12-1989

Pages 1-35

BOARD OF REGENTS

UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA SYSTEM

January 12, 1989

The Board of Regents met on the above date in Rooms 201-202,
Donald Moyer Student Union, University of Nevada, Las Vegas.

Members present: Mrs. June F. Whitley, Chairman

Dr. Jill Derby

Dr. James Eardley

Mr. Joseph M. Foley

Mrs. Dorothy S. Gallagher

Dr. Lonnie Hammargren

Mr. Chris Karamanos

Mrs. Carolyn M. Sparks

Members absent: Mr. Daniel J. Klaich

Others present: President William Berg, NNCC

President Anthony Calabro, WNCC

President Joseph Crowley, UNR

President John Gwaltney, TMCC

President Robert Maxson, UNLV

President Paul Meacham, CCCC

President James Taranik, DRI

Ms. Elizabeth Nozero, Assistant General Counsel

Dr. Warren Fox, Vice Chancellor

Mr. Ron Sparks, Vice Chancellor

Ms. Mary Lou Moser, Secretary

Also present were Faculty Senate Chairmen Cheryl Bowles (UNLV), Patricia Crookham (NNCC), Gilbert Cochran (DRI), Tom Kendall (Unit), Robert Mead (UNR), Paul Nelson (WNCC), Dru Raney (CCCC), Paula Funkhouser (TMCC), and Student Association Officers.

Chairman June Whitley called the meeting to order at 9:10 A.M.

1. Oath of Office

The Oath of Office was administered by the Honorable John Mc Groarty, District Judge, Juvenile Court Services, to re-

elected Regent June F. Whitley, and newly elected Regents
Jill Derby and Lonnie Hammargren.

2. Approved the Consent Agenda

Approved the Consent Agenda (identified as Ref. A, filed
with the permanent minutes) containing the following:

(1) Approved the minutes of the regular meeting held Novem-
ber 17, 1988, and the minutes of the special telecon-
ference meeting held December 15, 1988.

(2) Approved the gifts, grants and contracts, listed in
Ref. C-1, filed with the permanent minutes.

(3) The University of Nevada School of Medicine had been
named the beneficiary of three Individual Retirement
Accounts totalling \$12,039.27 established by the late
Mr. Robert Marshall. Mr. Marshall wrote a holographic
will leaving his property, and specifically identifying
the aforesaid IRAs, to his ex-wife, Louella Marshall.
However, Mr. Marshall neglected to change the name of
the beneficiary on his IRAs. Mrs. Marshall, through
her attorney, has requested the assistance of the UNS

in honoring Mr. Marshall's clear intent to leave this money to Mrs. Marshall. Dean Robert Daugherty and President Joseph Crowley of the University of Nevada-Reno have agreed with this request.

Approved this request and authorized Chancellor Dawson to sign an assignment of the beneficial interest in the aforesaid IRAs to Louella Marshall.

(4) Approved the following appointment to the Advisory

Board at WNCC:

Jo Ann Sheerin, Carson City

(5) Approved the following appointments to the National

Science Advisory Committee at DRI:

Verner E. Suomi, Madison, Wisconsin

George H. Miley, Urbana, Illinois

(6) Approved the following appointments to the Advisory

Board for Advertising and Public Relations in the Department of Communication Studies at UNLV. This board will serve to enhance teaching and research activities,

help create a supportive relationship between industry professionals and faculty, increase opportunities for internships and employment for graduates, and develop an atmosphere in which the industry will be responsive to needs of students, faculty and Campus.

Bruce Banke	Mike Miller
Maureen Barrett	Steve Minium
Cathy Collins	Arlene Peikoff
Rick Dale	Connie Richardson
Joe Digles	Jim Seagrave
Don Guglielmino	Dave Verbon
John Marz	Murray Westgate
Joe Merica	Dave Zamarin
Donald Miller	

- (7) Approved granting an additional year for a leave of absence without pay to Professor William Alsup, Department of Chemistry at UNLV.
- (8) Approved extending sick leave for Fred Harris, Assistant Professor, Department of Communications Studies at UNLV, from November 14 through December 16, 1988. Dr. Harris is recovering from an automobile accident.

(9) Approved the following interlocal agreements:

A. UNS Board of Regents/UNR and the Nevada Department
of Wildlife

Effective: Upon approval by the Board of Regents

Amount : Increase of \$1,500. Total contract
now is \$11,500 (original \$9,400)

Purpose : An amendment to increase funds for
Student Placement Service Interlocal
Contract.

B. UNS Board of Regents/UNR and the Nevada Department
of Wildlife

Effective: August 1, 1988 to September 30, 1989

Amount : \$33,583

Purpose : To study relationship between stream
type and channel stability ratings.

C. UNS Board of Regents/UNR and the Department of
Commerce, Real Estate Division

Effective: December 6, 1988 to April 30, 1989

Amount : Not to exceed \$6,717

Purpose : UNR to provide continuing education
courses and seminars to real estate
licensees.

D. UNS Board of Regents/NNCC and State of Nevada

Military Department

Effective: Upon approval by the Board of Regents

Amount : Fee to be repair work performed by NNCC

within and adjacent to property at
Armory. NNCC will repair all interior
plumbing of premises and stand pipe
and bib for adjacent wash rack.

Purpose : Use and possession of National Guard

Maintenance Shop in back yard of Elko
National Guard Armory.

Dr. Eardley moved adoption of the Consent Agenda and approval of the prepared agenda with the authority to change the order of items as specified throughout the meeting. Mr. Karamanos seconded. Motion carried.

3. Chairman's Report

Chairman Whitley informed the Board that Chancellor Mark Dawson underwent triple by-pass surgery on January 4. He has progressed very well and will be recuperating for the next 30 days.

The workload is being handled by the staff in shared governance. Ron Sparks - all legislative and budget items; Warren Fox - academic items; Don Klasic - legal items; Mary Lou Moser - Regent items.

She asked that all business be forwarded through the Chancellor's Office in the usual manner.

Chairman Whitley announced the following new committee assignments:

Academic Affairs Committee	Budget & Finance Committee
Joseph Foley, Chairman	James Eardley, Chairman
Jill Derby	Dorothy Gallagher
James Eardley	Lonnie Hammargren
Carolyn Sparks	Chris Karamanos

Investment Committee Legislative Liaison Cmte.

Daniel Klaich, Chairman Daniel Klaich, Chairman

Dorothy Gallagher Jill Derby

Carolyn Sparks Lonnie Hammargren

Carolyn Sparks

Audit Committee Estate Fund Committee

Carolyn Sparks, Chairman Joseph Crowley

Jill Derby James Eardley

Chris Karamanos Steve Evenson

Lonnie Hammargren

ad hoc NNCC Presidential William Marchant

Dorothy Gallagher, Chairman Paul Meacham

James Eardley David Wilkins

Joseph Foley

Chris Karamanos Health Care Liaison

Officer

Carolyn Sparks

Chairman Whitley stated that the agenda item concerning collective bargaining is being withdrawn inasmuch as neither Mark Dawson nor Don Klasic, our General Counsel, are present. The item will be re-scheduled when one or both of them are present to answer questions.

She announced that the Association of Governing Boards will hold its annual conference March 18-21. Jill Derby and Lonnie Hammargren plan to attend the workshop for new Regents. Regents planning to attend the conference were asked to make travel arrangements with Mary Lou Moser.

Mary Lou Moser will serve as Vice Chairman of the Planning Committee for the National Board Secretaries and moderate a panel session.

4. Introductions

President Taranik introduced newly appointed DRI Vice President for Research, Dr. William P. Bishop.

Vice Chancellor Warren Fox announced that Assistant General Counsel Liz Nozero has resigned to take a position in private industry and commended her for outstanding work.

5. Report on Future Fiscal Needs of the University of Nevada System

Vice Chancellor Warren Fox presented a report on enrollment

trends and future fiscal and capital construction needs of the University of Nevada System over the next 4 years. There has been considerable discussion re. enrollment projections and their fiscal impact. The planning report addresses significant growth at University of Nevada System Campuses and concerns about funds needed to accommodate this growth.

To accommodate record growth while meeting goals of quality higher education for the State of Nevada, the Board of Regents adopted the following recommendations:

- 1) The UNS Board of Regents recommends that the 1989-91 UNS Biennial Budget Request be funded to meet the instructional, research and public service needs of higher education.
- 2) The UNS Board of Regents recommends that student enrollment projections used to fund higher education over the next 2 biennia reflect actual growth trends; and that long-term projections not be used for short-term budgetary decisions.
- 3) The UNS Board of Regents recommends that significant needs for classrooms and instructional space be met in

the State's capital construction budget.

- 4) The UNS Board of Regents recommends that the Campuses of the UNS and the Board of Regents of the UNS join with the Executive Branch and Legislative Branch of the State of Nevada to provide a high quality system of higher education for Nevadans and to secure adequate financial support for the future.

The release of the Final Report, A Study of the Fiscal Affairs of State and Local Governments in Nevada and its recommendations, while generally well received, has caused some concern from Nevada's higher education community. The assumptions about future growth of higher education used by Price Waterhouse and the Urban Institute are not consistent with those of UNS; they contain revenue projections far below those provided by UNS. The study, using a model designed to make long-range predictions, projects approximately a 1% to 2% annual increase in enrollment through the year 2010 and bases revenue increases on this growth rate. The UNS, however, has been experiencing incredible growth for a decade and anticipates continued growth far exceeding the study's annual rate.

The following illustrates the FTE enrollment that results

from three different annual rates of increase:

YEAR	4%	5%	6%
1988	26,652	26,652	26,652
1989	27,718	27,985	28,251
1990	28,827	29,384	29,946
1991	29,980	30,853	31,743
1992	31,179	32,396	33,648
1993	32,426	34,015	35,666
1994	33,723	35,716	37,806
1995	35,072	37,502	40,075
1996	36,475	39,377	42,479
1997	37,934	41,346	45,028
1998	39,451	43,413	47,730
1999	41,030	45,584	50,593
2000	42,671	47,863	53,629

Vice Chancellor Fox stated that this phenomenal growth over the next two biennia presents an impressive challenge to our Universities and Community Colleges, and to the Legislature which is responsible for raising and distributing State revenues to support public programs. The impact of growth

affects the UNS in several ways. Capital construction and facilities are a significant concern. To meet unprecedented new numbers of students, UNS must respond to the immediate need to provide new classrooms and instructional space. Our Campuses also need to maintain and repair existing classrooms and buildings. In addition to instructional space, offices are needed for increasing numbers of faculty members hired. The Campuses will need to recruit and retain high-quality instructional faculty for programs across the board. Office shortages exist and will become a serious matter in the next biennium.

Instructional equipment, including computers, will be required to compete in an era of new technology. Scientific research is important to foster new knowledge and to encourage economic development in the State. Appropriate laboratory equipment must be obtained and new research technicians employed in appropriate facilities to make Nevada regionally and nationally competitive. Research is an area where UNR, UNLV and DRI are making rapid improvements, and the next two biennia are critical to maintain this momentum.

Dr. Derby stated that the report has been very helpful to

her in understanding enrollments.

Vice Chancellor Fox quoted a statement made at the Regents' Workshop by Vice Chancellor Sparks: "Price Waterhouse and the Urban Institute looked to the past to predict the future and forgot the present." He emphasized that the present must be considered in any effort to make projections. Vice Chancellor Fox stated that Chancellor Dawson sent a memorandum sharply criticizing the Price Waterhouse and Urban Institute higher education population projections to the citizens' committee charged with making tax recommendations to the Governor.

Chairman Whitley suggested Regents' priorities for capital construction projects be forwarded to lawmakers along with the UNS Planning Report and fiscal needs assessment.

Dr. Eardley moved acceptance of the UNS fiscal needs assessment and to include the capital improvement list with the report. Mrs. Sparks seconded. Motion carried.

6. Approved the UNS 1989-91 Legislative Planning Report

Approved the 1989-91 Legislative Planning Report, which was

distributed at the meeting, and is filed in the Regents Office.

Prior to each Legislative Session, the University of Nevada System prepares a four-year legislative planning report.

Nevada Revised Statutes 396.505 calls for the Board of Regents to prepare such a System plan to be submitted to the Nevada State Legislature. These reports are published on a biennial basis as updates to "Nevada 2000: Future Directions for Nevada's Colleges and Universities."

The 1989-1991 Planning Report focuses on the significant UNS student growth, the pursuit of high-quality academic programs, student access to UNS Campuses, budget priorities, the role of higher education in economic development, and other issues.

Vice Chancellor Fox presented the report. The future is challenging and demanding for the Colleges and Universities as they respond to the challenges of growth, rapid change, diversification, and academic improvement, UNS Campuses will serve the State well as a valuable resource to prepare students to be productive and educated citizens, and contribute to Nevada's economic and cultural growth.

In regard to enrollment projections, it now appears that the official State population estimates underestimated the rate of Nevada's growth. UNS ranked first in the nation in student population growth for the last ten years, and in 1987 enrollment had already exceeded the figure that had been predicted for 1990.

The Campus academic plans and priority proposals outlined in the report reflect UNS responses to the challenge of maintaining and implementing high-quality academic and research programs in light of increased enrollment and the State's population growth. Some Campuses plan to initiate relatively few programs while focusing on enhancing the quality of existing programs, while others experiencing tremendous growth will expand offerings to provide students with a variety of academic offerings.

The challenge facing policymakers and educational planners in Nevada over the next two decades is enormous. Access to programs and institutions must be maintained as more students seek educational opportunity in its increasingly diverse forms. Increased access for those who have not traditionally sought higher education must be actively pursued as

a State policy goal if UNS is to maintain economic competitiveness. The goals of quality education must also be equally pursued, for access without quality is an empty promise to students. It will become increasingly important for UNS institutions to define their mission and goals, to cooperate to provide a rich and diverse educational environment for Nevada citizens, and to reaffirm the goal of access to quality education for all who are able to benefit from it.

Chairman Whitley recessed the meeting until 2:45 P.M. The meeting reconvened with all Regents present except for Regents Karamanos and Klaich.

7. Approved the Naming of the College of Hotel Administration,
UNLV

Approved naming the College of Hotel Administration the William F. Harrah College of Hotel Administration at UNLV.

The Board of Regents accepted a \$5 million gift given through the UNLV Foundation from Verna Harrah and the Holiday Corporation, the parent company of Harrah's Hotels and Casinos. The gift will be used to establish 3 academic

Chairs and enhance programs at the College of Hotel Administration at UNLV.

The distinguished academic Chairs will be supported by \$3 million of the gift, which will come through the UNLV Foundation over the next 10 years. One Chair will be named for the late William Harrah; a 2nd Chair will be named for Michael D. Rose, Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of Holiday Corp.; and a 3rd Chair will be named for Claudine Williams, Chairman of the Board of Harrah's Las Vegas property, the Holiday Casino-Holiday Inn, and past Chairman and current member of the UNLV Foundation's Board of Trustees.

The gift is the largest single donation ever given to UNLV.

Chairman Whitley requested unanimous approval of naming the William F. Harrah College of Hotel Administration at UNLV.

Unanimous approval was given.

President Maxson introduced David Christianson, currently Associate Dean, who will become the Dean of the College of Hotel Administration on July 1, 1989.

Mr. Karamanos entered the meeting during the following discussion.

6. Approved the UNS 1989-91 Legislative Planning Report

(continued)

In continuing with the above discussion of the 1989-91 Legislative Planning Report Mr. Foley commented on the Governor's preliminary recommendations for the UNS 1989-91 budget request with regard to capital improvement programs for UNS and questioned Board support for UNR's Engineering Lab Center at \$10.4 million when the greatest student growth is at UNLV and CCCC. He cited CCCC as having only 300,000 sq. ft. of space for over 14,000 students, and UNR as having over one million sq. ft. of space in excess of what UNLV has. Further, he stated that it was his understanding that UNR was losing students and the Board had to provide extra funds for faculty because the FTE in engineering projects were too low to support faculty positions. He cited UNLV as turning away 500 students during the Fall semester 1989 registration period to CCCC because of the lack of space for the courses, and CCCC also turning them away for the same reason. He stated he was not opposing the UNR project but felt that Board support should be for the priorities as

approved by the Board.

President Crowley assured Mr. Foley that the project has been on the priority list for some time, and Vice Chancellor Sparks agreed, stating that it has been on the list for at least four years and has been number six on the list for at least the last two years.

Chairman Whitley stated her understanding is that the Board would like the Governor and the Legislators to accept the capital improvement list as submitted by the Board.

President Crowley asked to comment on the matter:

...Joe (Foley), this Engineering Laboratory Center is certainly nothing new. It has been under discussion since that period of 1984-85 when the UNLV Engineering building took the State by storm. During that period of time, there was, although there was no reason for the Board to know about it at this time, though I suspect some northern Regents were aware of it, tremendous pressure on the University to attempt to extract from the Board and from the Governor and from the Legislature a quid pro quo because our Engineering buildings

are aging. The rationale that was applied to the need for a new Engineering building at UNLV was very much the same rationale that was employed by the people in the engineering community and business community in Reno, who felt the same argument could be made in the case of northern Nevada. However, that was kept, at some personal price to me, by the way, from this Board and from the Governor and from the Legislature. There was no mention of that in those circumstances. And I cannot help but recall that at that time the Regents' priorities did not have the UNLV Engineering building in the first place.

Although that clearly is where it ended up in the Legislature and with regard to the action by the Governor. I supported that. That was a political reality.

Two years ago, the supporters, the same group, a larger group, from the College of Engineering and the community, and this would apply to the faculty and leadership of that College as well, went to the Legislature and were able to secure a hearing before the Assembly Ways and Means Committee. I don't remember whether Ron was there. Dan Klaich was there, and I was there and I

would guess about 75 representatives from the engineering community were there. And they all testified on behalf of the bill that had been introduced, proposing to fund an Engineering Laboratory Center. That was on the list, but it was down a ways --- 8th or 9th or wherever it was. I got up in front of that assemblage and I argued strongly on behalf of the priorities of this Board of Regents, that that bill proposed to take that building out of priorities. And I can assure you I made no friends, in the engineering community, or as far as I know in all of Reno, when I did that. And I made a hell of a lot of enemies. But I did it anyway speaking on behalf of the priorities of this Board of Regents.

At the same time in the Legislature there was taken up a building for CCCC out of priority. There was some considerable support by influential people and I supported that as well because that was politics. At the same time there was proposed, and again it was politics, an appropriation for the alumni house at UNLV that was not on the priority list anywhere, and I supported that because it was politics.

At the end of the Legislative Session with no effort from me --, I had opposed this publicly and in discussion with Legislators, and you can ask Chairman Sedway if you would like verification of that, of private discussions --, notwithstanding because of the tremendous political support that had grown up around this Engineering building, not terribly different from the kind of support that had accompanied the development of the Engineering building at UNLV, sufficiently powerful political force to get the Legislature in its waning days to pass legislation that appropriated prospectively \$425,000 to UNR for planning and design, IF the University were able to raise \$1 million, not for construction but for equipment and other related purposes. I did not do that. The Legislature did it. It was in response to politics. Surely politics is nothing new to this Board of Regents. It happens every Session. Now I am supportive, understandably, and I think naturally, of the Engineering building at UNR. We went out and conducted a campaign and raised \$1.7 million with 713 donors and a lot of time with a lot of people. Those people believe, whether rightly or wrongly, that when the Legislature extended that commitment of \$425,000 in exchange for a million that they were saying, "If you raise that, we

will fund your building." Now that is what those people believe. There is nothing that I can say or you can say or the Governor or the Legislature could say that would dissuade them from that. So they feel that that building is coming whatever we may do here. Maybe it is and maybe it isn't.

I support that building. I do not support, and have not supported in any conversation with any person at any time, and most recently with the Governor about 2 weeks ago, as my colleagues will testify, I have not supported that building being taken out of priority order and I will not support that. But I am supportive of funding of that building. And if we are so fortunate as to have the Regents' priorities recognized then there is potentially even some useful purpose to be served by this political activity for a building which is in 6th place by the Regents' priority list, because perhaps those buildings that are in between can be funded as well. But I don't think there is anything that is happening with regard with the Engineering Laboratory Center that is at all unusual without a precedent in previous actions by Governor, Legislature and the Board of Regents.

Mrs. Gallagher related that she, as Legislative Liaison Committee Chairman at that time, had been very much involved in this issue. She stated she had been invited to attend three different meetings with the Associated General Contractors in Reno who were very upset with President Crowley and with her and the Board. She stated that they requested the President be fired for opposing them, to which she replied that "...that wasn't an option because the President was supporting the Regents' priority list as he had been asked to do." The second meeting was questioning whether the Regents had changed their minds about the President, to which she had answered in the negative. She stated the Board was very much in support of the President's actions at that time. In relation to what the Governor might be recommending in his current budget, she reminded the Board that he, too, answered to constituents, as do the Legislators, and that they must all run for re-election. She assured the Board that the northern Nevada engineering community does believe that the UNR project will be funded.

Dr. Hammargren suggested that the same language be used in this document as had been agreed upon previously, namely, that the Board urges the Governor and the Legislators to

provide complete funding for the capital improvement list as adopted by the Board of Regents.

Mr. Foley agreed that would be a solution for the report.

He added that he felt the Health Sciences building for the Community College last Session was a different situation in that UNS had a large private donation for the building, which meant that UNS had to come up with funds for equipment. Also, this building was to house a project of the UNS Medical School. Mr. Foley stated he would certainly support the UNR Engineering Lab Project so long as it was taken in priority order, stating that he felt the Regents needed to be responsive to community efforts on behalf of UNS.

President Crowley stated he was drawing the parallel to the CCCC building because he was remembering how uncomfortable it had been for President Meacham during the last Session when it had been perceived that CCCC was going outside the Regents' priority request. President Crowley reiterated that he was in support of the UNR building but that he would always, as has been his position in the past, speak as an Officer of the Board for the Board's priorities.

Mrs. Derby stated she felt that the action of approving the

planning report as submitted reaffirmed the priority list contained within it.

Dr. Eardley related that even though he was not on the Board at the time, the Reno community was trying to involve him in the Engineering building conflict during the last Session.

He agreed that Dr. Crowley had "...taken a lot of flack" from the engineering community. In connection with the capital projects question, he stated he felt the Community Colleges were not receiving the attention they deserve in the Governor's recommendations.

President Meacham recalled the negotiations which took place within UNS which resulted in the agreement by the Presidents of priority of the top 10 projects on the Capital Improvement list which was adopted by the Board. Those 10 projects amounted to approximately \$40 million. The State Public Works Board added another \$10 million to those projects, and incorporated them into the list containing all State projects. He stated that everyone must keep in mind that even \$50 million is not an exorbitant amount for projects that have been on a priority list for years and years, and in competition with other even more expensive projects from around the State.

In answer to Mrs. Sparks question concerning the makeup of the group lobbying on behalf of the UNR Engineering Lab, President Crowley stated that it is the group of people in construction engineering who have made presentations to the Board and supported other projects, coupled with a strong interest on behalf of the general business community. The leadership in the effort to secure the \$425,000 from the last Legislature and in the campaign to raise the \$1.7 million was supplied by the construction and the engineering industry.

Mrs. Sparks questioned whether there would not be extra space in the Mines buildings being constructed on the UNR Campus. President Crowley replied that there probably would be, but that politics are at work in that area also and they have clearly divided the School of Mines from the College of Engineering and "...that twain will never meet..". He further explained that UNR does have 2 Engineering buildings, one built in 1941 and the second in 1962, but the space in those buildings is totally inadequate for engineering programs today. He related that unless funding for the Laboratory Center is not forthcoming soon, UNR could not hope to offer quality engineering to its students.

Regent Eardley questioned whether the Capital Improvement list might not be outdated even now. It was learned through discussion that the Presidents do refine the list prior to its being forwarded for adoption by the Board.

President Crowley questioned whether the report contained a reference to the Higher Education Capital Construction (HECC) and the Regents' efforts to have those funds returned from the State General Fund to their original purpose -- capital construction for higher education. He recalled that in 1981 those funds had been diverted during a recession in the State and efforts to retain them have never been successful. The Regents have requested a bill draft for their recovery, and, if successful, have earmarked them for maintenance projects within UNS. Dr. Fox indicated no reference had been made in the report, but that it will so indicate in the final printing.

President Calabro stated he felt that when the System Master Plan is completed this next year, that it will certainly deal with UNS capital construction projects.

The Chairman clarified the motion upon consent of the maker

and second:

Mrs. Gallagher moved to accept the 1989-91 Legislative Planning Report with the addition of a statement in the Capital Construction portion that the Regents request the Governor and the Legislature to fund the capital projects in priority order as submitted, and that a reference to the recapture of the HECC funds be included. Dr. Derby seconded. Motion carried.

At the Chairman's request, Mrs. Gallagher read from the Handbook, Title 3, Legal Status of University, Chapter 1, Section 3, Supreme Court Interpretations.

c. Nev. Art. 11 & 4, provides that State University shall be controlled by Board of Regents and unquestioned right of Legislature to appropriate required funds for maintaining University does not indicate that constitution does not vest exclusive and plenary control in Regents, because right to provide and limit funds is entirely different from administration and control of University itself. King v. Board of Regents, 65 Nev. 533, 200 p. 2d 221 (1948).

Mrs. Gallagher added that although the Board does "run" the University it does not give the Board the right to determine where the funds come from, that is the job of the Nevada State Legislature.

Dr. Eardley stated he was questioning the right of the Legislature to change the Regents' capital construction priority list. President Crowley and Mrs. Gallagher reminded the Board that the priorities are changed by the Governor and/or the Legislature in every Session.

8. Legislative Update

Vice Chancellor Ron Sparks presented an update on the UNS 1989-91 Biennial Budget Request.

Vice Chancellor Sparks reminded the Presidents and the Board that any information that the Governor has been willing to share with UNS is submitted to UNS with the understanding that prior to the time when he makes the information public, it is to be kept confidential. Therefore, the information in the legislative update contains only those items which have been made public at this time.

UNS was privileged with a tentative list of recommendations from the Governor several weeks ago. On January 4 the Presidents, Regent Klaich and Vice Chancellors Fox and Sparks met with the Governor to discuss those tentative recommendations. UNS expressed concerns about certain areas where it was felt funding was a little bit light. Vice Chancellor Sparks related that he has continuously communicated, or attempted to communicate with the budget office and the Governor on what changes to the tentative recommendations they will be making. Currently, he reported, the lines of communication have been severed, and it was his understanding and feeling that it was because the information was not kept confidential.

Vice Chancellor Sparks stated he feels the Base Budget request is in "pretty good shape". At the January 4 meeting, the enrollment problems and the continued growth within the UNS had been conveyed to the Governor.

Concerning the 4 priorities for new funding, Vice Chancellor Sparks related that in his opinion the Presidents' presentations were extremely well done, but there is no information on whether changes have been made to the tentative recommendations.

Vice Chancellor Sparks announced a hearing with Human Resources and Facilities Committee, Senator Rawson Chairman, on Wednesday, January 18th, and invited Presidents and Regents to attend.

Discussion followed on the need for confidentiality of the Governor's tentative recommendations with Regents Karamanos and Foley questioning the process. Presidents Crowley and Berg, and Vice Chancellor Sparks all agreed that with the present system the Governor has been generous enough to share the tentative recommendations and let UNS respond prior to making his final decisions on the State budget request. They also agreed that they would not like to see this process change.

9. Approved Emeritus Status

A. Approved promotion to Emeritus Status for the following at DRI:

Dr. Paul R. Fenske, Emeritus Executive Director of the Water Resources Center, effective December 31, 1988.

Dr. Joy Leland, Emerita Research Professor, Quaternary Sciences Center, effective December 31, 1988.

Mr. Karamanos moved approval of the promotions to Emeritus Status at DRI. Dr. Eardley seconded. Motion carried.

B. Approved promotion to Emeritus Status for the following at UNLV:

Dr. Paul Harris, Emeritus Professor of Theatre Arts, effective upon retirement at the conclusion of the 1988-89 academic year.

Dr. Monroe Fischer, Emeritus Professor of Economics, effective immediately.

Dr. Ralph Roske, Emeritus Professor of History, effective upon retirement December 31, 1988.

Mr. Karamanos moved approval of the promotions to Emeritus Status at UNLV. Mr. Foley seconded. Motion carried.

10. Approved Sabbatical Leaves, 1989-90

Nevada Revised Statutes and Board of Regents policy provide for the total number of sabbatical leaves which may be awarded each year.

Utilizing the criteria followed in previous years, the maximum available leaves for 1989-90 for UNR is 12; UNLV is 10, DRI is 2, TMCC is 2 and WNCC is 1.

A. University of Nevada-Reno - President Crowley recommended the sabbatical leaves available to UNR be awarded to the following:

Morris R. Brownell, English, Spring 1990

William Eadington, Economics, Academic Year 1989-90

Rodney Harrington, Biochemistry, Spring 1990

Martha Hildreth, History, Academic Year 1989-90

James Mc Cormick, Art, Fall 1989

Thomas Nickles, Philosophy, Academic Year 1989-90

Keith Priestley, Seismology Laboratory, Academic Year
1989-90

Ramana Reddy, Chemical/Metallurgical Engineering,
Academic Year 1989-90

Donald Tibbits, Anatomy/Biology, Academic Year 1989-90

Frank Tobin, Foreign Languages and Literatures,

Academic Year 1989-90

Alternates

Charles Dreiling, Biochemistry, Academic Year 1989-90

H. Eugene Le May, Chemistry, Academic Year 1989-90

Shane Templeton, Curriculum and Instruction, Fall 1989

See Ref. B for summary of proposed projects, filed in
the Regents Office.

Mr. Karamanos moved approval of the sabbatical leaves
at UNR. Mrs. Gallagher seconded. Motion carried.

B. University of Nevada. Las Vegas - President Maxson
recommended the sabbatical leaves available to UNLV be
awarded to the following:

Virginia Bakay, Accounting, Spring 1990

Barbara Cloud, Communication Studies, Academic Year
1989-90

Lawrence Dandurand, Marketing, Academic Year 1989-90

Frank Dempster, Counseling & Educational Psychology and

Foundations, Academic Year 1989-90

Scott Locicero, History, Spring 1990

Margaret Louis, Nursing, Fall 1989

Michael McCollum, Art, Academic Year 1989-90

M. L. Miranda, Anthropology, Spring 1990

Clarence Ray, Economics, Spring 1990

Peter Starkweather, Biology, Academic Year 1989-90

Alternates

Ashok Iyer, Engineering, Academic Year 1989-90

Leon Coburn, English, Academic Year 1989-90

See Ref. C for summary of proposed projects, filed in
the Regents Office.

Mr. Karamanos moved approval of the sabbatical leaves
at UNLV. Mrs. Gallagher seconded. Motion carried.

C. Desert Research Institute - President Taranik recom-
mended the sabbatical leaves available to DRI be
awarded to the following:

Cynthia Irwin-Williams, Quaternary Sciences Center,

Academic Year 1989-90

See Ref. D for summary of proposed projects, filed in
the Regents Office.

Dr. Eardley moved approval of the sabbatical leaves at

DRI. Mrs. Sparks seconded. Motion carried.

D. Clark County Community College - President Meacham

recommended the sabbatical leaves available to CCCC be
awarded to the following:

Barbara Smigel, Science/Health, Academic Year 1989-90

Jeri Wallis, Social Science, Academic Year 1989-90

Stephen Liu, Arts/Humanities, Academic Year 1989-90

Beverly Funk, Business, Academic Year 1989-90

Alternates

Marguerite Re, Arts/Humanities, Academic Year 1989-90

James Keeton, Health Science, Academic Year 1989-90

James Santor, Industrial Technology, Academic Year

1989-90

See Ref. E for summary of proposed projects, filed in the Regents Office.

Mrs. Gallagher moved approval of sabbatical leaves at CCCC. Dr. Eardley seconded. Motion carried.

E. Truckee Meadows Community College - President Gwaltney recommended the sabbatical leaves available to TMCC be awarded to the following:

Patricia Hampton, Health Science, Fall 1989

Allen M. Lewis, Business/Management, Academic Year
1989-90

Mata-Maria Cooney, Arts and Sciences, Academic Year
1989-90

Aurora Eustaquio, Arts and Sciences, Academic Year
1989-90

Maria Teirumniks, Arts and Sciences, One Semester

See Ref. F for summary of proposed projects, filed in the Regents Office.

Mr. Karamanos moved approval of the sabbatical leaves

at TMCC. Dr. Eardley seconded. Motion carried.

F. Western Nevada Community College - President Calabro

recommended the sabbatical leave available to WNCC be awarded to the following:

John Yurtinus, Education Services, Spring 1990

See Ref. G for summary of proposed projects, filed in the Regents Office.

Dr. Eardley moved approval of the sabbatical leave at WNCC. Mr. Foley seconded. Motion carried.

Chairman Whitley requested the Presidents to present a report to the Board at the end of the sabbatical leaves on the individual leaves. And further directed the Chancellor's Office to develop a reporting format for this report.

11. Approved the Transfer of Carl and Eleonora Esping Scholarship Trust

Approved the transfer of the Carl and Eleonora Esping Scholarship Trust into the UNS Endowment Fund. Upon Eleo-

nora Esping's decease, a trust was established for scholarships to the University of Nevada School of Medicine. The trustees consisted of two private citizens and the Dean of the School of Medicine. The trustees have determined that the management of the trust funds could be more efficiently managed if placed in the UNS Endowment Fund. Therefore, the trustees are prepared to resign and turn over the trust to the Board of Regents to act as the new trustees. Before the transfer can be completed, the current trustees must seek court approval.

General Counsel Donald Klasic recommends approval of this request.

Mrs. Gallagher moved approval of the transfer of the Carl and Eleonora Esping Scholarship Trust into the UNS Endowment Fund with the Board of Regents to act as trustees. Mrs. Sparks seconded. Motion carried.

12. Information Only - UNS Budgeted vs. Actual Expenditures, 1987-88

Vice Chancellor Sparks presented the budgeted vs. actual expenditures for UNS for 1987-1988 as required by Board of

Regents policy.

13. Report and Recommendations of the Academic Affairs Committee

A report and recommendations of the Academic Affairs Committee meeting, held January 12, 1989, were made by Regent Joseph M. Foley, Chairman.

(1) Approved a University of Nevada System policy for educational assessment of students, to be added into the Board of Regents' Handbook, Title 4, Chapter 14, Section 6, (following sections will be renumbered) as follows:

6. UNS Policy on Student Assessment

The University of Nevada System Board of Regents requires that an appropriate plan of regular student educational assessment be developed by each Campus, with each Campus assuming responsibility for developing the processes and procedures to be used. Plans should be based upon Campus mission and should be developed with multiple assessment approaches which may include but not be limited to

testing. Among other activities, regular regional accreditation review will provide an overall assessment of the Campus. Plans should reflect the mix of programs and types of students.

Assessment approaches may vary at each institution; however, the Universities and Community Colleges should work together to develop common approaches, where appropriate. The Chancellor's Office, with the Campuses, will develop appropriate measures of student persistence and performance, and will collect and monitor these data on a Statewide basis.

Campus reports should initially be submitted to the Board for information by Spring 1990, and subsequently each President should report to the Board on the results of their assessment efforts on a biennial basis.

President Meacham expressed concern that the assessment policy might discourage students from enrolling and urged Community Colleges to be most cautious with this policy.

(2) Information Only - In cooperation with the State

Department of Education and Nevada school districts, the University of Nevada System has developed a master list of high school courses which will satisfy the new University admission requirements. These requirements will be effective Fall 1989. This list has been distributed throughout the State, and will provide useful information for students, counselors, principals and University officials.

The master list will be reviewed and updated annually to reflect changes in high school course offerings.

(3) Approved changing the title of a UNLV master's degree

to "Administration and Sports Management" from "Athletic Administration." The Graduate College and the School of Health, Physical Education, and Recreation, and the Senior Vice President have endorsed this change.

Mr. Foley moved approval of the report and recommendations of the Academic Affairs Committee. Mrs. Gallagher seconded. Motion carried.

14. Report and Recommendations of the Audit Committee

A report and recommendations of the Audit Committee meeting, held January 12, 1989, were made by Regent Chris Karamanos, member of Committee.

(1) Approved the 1988 UNS Consolidated Financial Statement. Mr. Wayne Stoker of Deloitte, Haskins and Sells, UNS independent auditing firm, presented the report on the June 30, 1988 UNS Consolidated Financial Statement. He stated that the UNS financial statement was in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles with a clean opinion for the audit report.

The Financial Statement was distributed at the meeting and is filed in the Regents Office.

(2) Approved the 1988 UNS Constructive Service Letter.

Mr. John Surina of Deloitte, Haskins and Sells, UNS independent auditing firm, presented the report on the June 30, 1988 UNS Constructive Service Letter. He discussed a few conditions, found in the letter, although not considered to be material weaknesses, are

weaknesses in internal accounting control for which corrective action might be taken.

The Constructive Service Letter was distributed at the meeting and is filed in the Regents Office.

One major finding, as reported by Mr. Chris Aves, EDP Department of Deloitte, Haskins and Sells, is that a formal strategic plan for data processing has not been developed. Without formal Electronic Data Processing planning, it is difficult to plan for the future. The lack of formal planning often results in a reactive, non-directional EDP environment, and lack of coordination between data processing and user goals and objectives. This may lead to non-optimal uses of current EDP resources, committing funds to unnecessary equipment, and an inability to meet future demands. Deloitte, Haskins and Sells suggested that a formal EDP plan be developed to provide guidance for a strategic direction of the EDP function for UNS. The need for a strategic plan is particularly important, given recent developments regarding UNS's System conversion and the existence of varying opinions of UNS management regarding System needs (i. e., conversion to a

mainstream system, autonomous systems for northern and southern Campuses, etc.). Once developed, the strategic plan should be periodically reviewed for appropriateness.

Vice Chancellor Ron Sparks stated that Educom consultants visited UNS years ago and that a follow-up visit was just recently completed. A strategic plan, in the form of a Systemwide integrated computing budget package is included in the 1989-91 budget request.

This plan, funding permitting, sets specific strategic direction in all key areas, including administrative computing. It specifically addresses the issues of conversion to "mainstream" hardware and software and full-service data centers North and South.

Mr. Wayne Stoker expressed his appreciation for the cooperation and assistance of Mrs. Cardinal and her staff, and the Campus controllers and their staffs.

- (3) Internal Audit Director Sandi Cardinal presented the audit of the BCN Transfer of Inventory Records, June 30, 1988, filed in the Regents Office.

(4) Internal Audit Director Sandi Cardinal presented the audit of the UNS Computing Services, July 1, 1987 through April 30, 1988, filed in the Regents Office.

(5) Internal Audit Director Sandi Cardinal presented the audit of the CCCC Registration and Bursar's Office, July 1 through December 31, 1987, filed in the Regents Office.

(6) Internal Audit Director Sandi Cardinal presented the audit of the CCCC Video Instruction Program, July 1, 1987 through May 31, 1988, filed in the Regents Office.

(7) Information Only - Internal Audit Director Sandi Cardinal presented the follow-up report on the NNCC Admissions and Records audit, filed in the Regents Office.

(8) Information Only - Internal Audit Director Sandi Cardinal presented the follow-up report on the Associated Students of Northern Nevada Community College audit, filed in the Regents Office.

(9) Information Only - Internal Audit Director Sandi

Cardinal presented the follow-up report on the WNCC

State Funded Grants audit, filed in the Regents

Office.

(10) Information Only - Internal Audit Director Sandi

Cardinal presented the follow-up report on the WNCC

Admissions and Records audit, filed in the Regents

Office.

Mr. Karamanos moved approval of the report and recommendations of the Audit Committee. Mrs. Gallagher seconded.

Motion carried.

15. Report and Recommendations of the Budget and Finance
Committee

A report and recommendations of the Budget and Finance Committee meeting, held January 12, 1989, were made by Regent James Eardley, Chairman.

(1) Approved an addition to the Handbook, Title 4, Chapter 17, Section 11.3, Special Course Fees, CCCC, as follows:

MTL 218B \$100.00 per course

- (2) Approved an addition to the Handbook, Title 4, Chapter 17, Section 11.1, Special Course Fees, UNR, as follows:

BIO 320 MOI, Experimental

Field Ecology \$100.00 per course

GEO 451, Summer Field

Geology \$850.00-950.00 per course

The \$100.00 fee for Experimental Field Ecology is to cover the cost of food and transportation for a 10-day field trip to the Little Valley Field Station. The \$850.00-950.00 fee for Summer Field Geology is to cover lodging, food, part of cost of camp cook, transportation, a group accident policy, and part of the cost of upkeep on the Ruth, Nevada campsite. Fee varies depending on expected enrollment and cost of transportation and food. A fee of \$900 is anticipated for the 1988 Summer Field Camp.

- (3) Approved a change to the Handbook, Title 4, Chapter 17, Section 10, Student Fees, UNR, as follows:

UNR UNLV

Summer School Registration

Fee per credit

for undergraduate courses 42 41

for graduate courses 52 50

Independent Study

(correspondence) 42 NA

(4) Approved a change to the Handbook, Title 4, Chapter 17, Section 5.3, Special Reduced Tuition and Fees, UNLV, as contained in Ref. B-4, filed in the Regents Office.

(5) Approved to use \$185,000 from the Capital Improvement Fee fund to construct a "Butler-type" building to house the Printing Services Department. This would allow better and more efficient service to the Campus.

(6) Approved an increase of 10% in Summer Session salaries for faculty at UNR.

Although UNR has been raising Summer Session salaries at higher percentages over the past five years than

what has been true for academic year salaries, UNR is beginning to experience problems with recruiting regular faculty to teach courses during the Summer. What appears to have been happening is that new faculty in high demand disciplines and Colleges are being brought in at salaries that are substantially above those paid to new faculty as a group. In the College of Business and the College of Engineering, particularly, UNR is beginning to have difficulty recruiting any regular faculty to teach (Accounting, Information Systems, Economics, Electrical Engineering). A 10% increase will be of some help.

(7) Approval the following Summer Session Budgets for UNR,

UNLV, TMCC, WNCC, NNCC and CCCC:

Continuing Education - UNR Summer Session

	1988	1989
	Projected	Budget
	Actual	Estimate

Revenues

Opening Account Balance \$ 231,910 \$ 135,879

Fees	689,532	741,150
Transfers Out	10,000	25,000
Total Source of Funds	\$ 931,442	\$ 902,029

Expenditures

Professional Salaries	\$ 472,832	\$ 520,115
Fringe Benefits	5,107	6,107
Wages	18,275	20,000
Fringe Benefits	5,893	7,103
Operating	71,601	74,760
Out-of-State Travel	9,505	10,000
Transfers Out	107,886	110,801
Ending Account Balance	135,879	153,143
Total Use of Funds	\$ 826,978*	\$ 902,029

*Out of Balance

UNLV Summer Session

Revenues

Opening Account Balance	\$ 450,845	\$ 423,881
Student Fees	1,324,353	1,390,570
Total Source of Funds	\$1,775,198	\$1,814,451

Expenditures

Prof Sal (LAs + .18 FTE)	\$1,145,245	\$1,300,000
Fringe Benefits	14,577	16,500
Class Sal (FTE 1.0)	25,969	21,023
Fringe Benefits	5,193	4,204
Wages	1,000	2,000
Fringe Benefits	10	20
Operating	30,223	41,085
Out-of-State Travel	400	4,000
Transfers Out	128,700	6,000
Ending Account Balance	423,881	419,619
Total Use of Funds	\$1,775,198	\$1,814,451

TMCC Summer Session

Revenues

Opening Account Balance	\$ 78,476	\$ 64,094
Registration Fees	94,287	102,138
Total Source of Funds	\$ 172,763	\$ 166,232

Expenditures

Professional Salaries	\$ 71,986	\$ 83,864
Fringe Benefits	1,538	1,795
Class Salaries (FTE 1.0)	13,028	15,610

Fringe Benefits	4,198	5,130
Wages	1,333	1,466
Fringe Benefits	25	31
Operating	16,561	29,375
Out-of-State Travel	0	3,000
Ending Account Balance	64,094	25,961
Total Use of Funds	\$ 172,763	\$ 166,232

WNCC Summer Session

Revenues

Estimated Revenue	\$ 38,000
Total Source of Funds	\$ 38,000

Expenditures

Salaries	\$ 23,404
Operating (Est. 15% of Revenue)	5,700
Ending Account Balance	8,896
Total Use of Funds	\$ 38,000

NNCC Summer Session

Revenues

Opening Account Balance	\$ 1,768	\$ 2,643
-------------------------	----------	----------

Registration Fees	32,459	35,057
Total Source of Funds	\$ 34,227	\$ 37,700

Expenditures

Grad Asst Salaries	\$ 16,055	\$ 17,660
Fringe Benefits	279	353
Operating	8,992	10,000
Out-of-State Travel	73	1,000
Total Transfers Out	6,185	1,500
Ending Account Balance	2,643	7,187
Total Use of Funds	\$ 34,227	\$ 37,700

CCCC Summer Session

Revenues

Beginning Balance	\$ 130,000
Registration Fees	260,000
Total Source of Funds	\$ 390,000

Expenditures

Professional Contracts	\$ 215,000
Classified Personnel	8,000
Wages	6,000
Fringe Benefits	8,000

Out-of-State Travel	3,000
Operating Expenses	20,000
Ending Balance	130,000
Total Use of Funds	\$ 390,000

(8) Approved to use approximately \$115,000 from the Capital Improvement Fee Fund to remodel the Child Development Laboratory facility in the College of Home Economics at UNR.

(9) Approved to use \$1,500 from the Capital Improvement Fee Fund for the erection of a sign and outdoor lighting at the NNCC Ely facility.

Steve Evenson, CSUN President, stated that he opposes the student fee increase but understands its necessity. He added that Nevada students want something in return from the State.

Dr. Eardley moved approval of the report and recommendations of the Budget and Finance Committee. Mrs. Gallagher seconded. Motion carried.

A report and recommendations of the Estate Fund Committee meetings, held December 19, 1988 and January 11, 1989 were made by Dr. James Eardley, member of the Committee.

(1) At its special teleconference meeting on December 15, 1988, the Board referred to the Committee for reconsideration the Campus proposals for use of estate funds for 1989-90. The Committee met on December 19, 1988 and requested that academic officers of each Campus determine 3 or 4 major categories for estate funds 1989-90, prioritize their institution's proposals into these categories and submit them for consideration. The Committee recommended forwarding to the Board of Regents the proposals for 1989-90, filed in the Regents Office.

The Committee has identified projects that would supplement regular State authorizations for higher education and not supplant regular budgeted allocations.

The legislative authority to the Board of Regents to spend \$2,500,000 from the account each year is intended to be outside the regular budget to provide support in selected areas not regularly included in State appro-

priations. 3 primary areas to improve education outside of the regular budget were identified as 1) Academic Support and Equipment, 2) Faculty Development and Research Support, and 3) Information Systems and Support Services Enhancement. The areas identified for support are critical to the continued development of quality higher education in the State of Nevada, to the continued improvement of faculty at the Community Colleges and Universities, and to the overall ability of the University of Nevada System to achieve a competitive edge in the quality of its faculty, its instruction, and its research.

The Presidents of the Campuses have carefully reviewed and approved proposals submitted and the projects will be closely monitored to completion with reports filed at the conclusion of the projects.

The following is a break down of expenditures:

University of Nevada System Total	\$2,500,000
-----------------------------------	-------------

A. Academic Support and Equipment	\$ 909,077
-----------------------------------	------------

University of Nevada, Las Vegas	\$ 177,715
University of Nevada, Reno	349,500
Clark County Community College	222,970
Northern Nevada Community College	22,750
Truckee Meadows Community College	90,000
Western Nevada Community College	46,142

B. Faculty Development and Research

Support	\$1,038,298
University of Nevada, Las Vegas	\$ 406,555.50
University of Nevada-Reno	299,032.50
Desert Research Institute	100,000
Clark County Community College	9,965
Northern Nevada Community College	56,000
Truckee Meadows Community College	57,870
Western Nevada Community College	68,875
Chancellor's Office Unit	40,000

C. Information Systems and Support

Services Enhancement	\$ 552,625
University of Nevada, Las Vegas	\$ 183,262

University of Nevada-Reno	178,065
Clark County Community College	53,935
Northern Nevada Community College	11,750
Truckee Meadows Community College	40,000
Western Nevada Community College	22,733
Chancellor's Office Unit	62,880

Dr. Eardley questioned if any of the proposals are included in the UNS budget request, and Dr. Fox stated that there may be a few proposals that were related to the budget, but are not included in the budget request.

The proposals do not overlap budget items, and are not the same type of requests as indicated in the budget.

If the proposals are not funded by the Estate Fund, the the activities cannot occur with the budgeted funds.

Regent Carolyn Sparks strongly emphasized that the proposals must be for enhancement and the Board of Regents must be steadfast in pursuing these funds.

Vice Chancellor Fox suggested that a cover letter from the Chairman of the Board be sent to the Legislators indicating the NRS statute, terms of the law, emphasis on "enhancement" proposals, and the Board's intent of

keeping faith with the intentions of the people of the State of Nevada.

Vice Chancellor Fox further suggested that the proposals be edited in order to establish a format for easy reading and understanding by the Legislators.

Regent Sparks confirmed that these estate fund proposals are not listed in the UNS budget request, and that if the Legislature deducts the \$2.5 million from the budget, the Legislature will have to take responsibility for its decision. Discussion of the estate fund should not enter into discussions of the UNS budget request.

Dr. Eardley moved approval of the report and recommendations of the Estate Fund Committee. Mrs. Gallagher seconded.

Motion carried.

17. Approved Graduate Student Association Constitution, UNLV

Approved the UNLV Graduate Student Association Constitution, as contained in Ref. I, filed in the Regents Office.

Dr. Eardley moved approval of the UNLV Graduate Student Association Constitution. Mrs. Gallagher seconded. Motion carried.

18. Approved Changes to Bylaws, UNLV

Approved the changes to the Handbook, Title 5, Chapter 6, Chapter 1, Section 2.3, Amendment of UNLV Bylaws as follows:

2.3 Amendment of UNLV Bylaws. An amendment to the UNLV Bylaws may be proposed by: 1) 20 % of the total faculty of UNLV; or 2) the Faculty Senate voting majority approval on any suggestion or 3) any UNS Regent; or 4) the Chancellor; and 5) the UNLV President. Before going to the faculty for referendum, all proposals will be referred to the Faculty Senate for consideration and recommendation.

2.3.1 Faculty Approval. Faculty acceptance of the proposed amendment requires the approval of 2/3 of the total faculty voting.

Dr. Eardley moved approval of the amendments to the UNLV Bylaws. Mrs. Gallagher seconded. Motion carried.

19. Approved Use of Regents Special Project Fund

Approved the use of \$15,000, with additional funds if needed from the Regents Special Project Fund for the NNCC Presidential Search.

Mr. Karamanos moved approval of the use of \$15,000, with additional funds if needed from the Regents Special Project Fund for the NNCC Presidential Search. Mrs. Gallagher seconded. Motion carried.

The meeting adjourned at 4:20 P.M.

Mary Lou Moser

Secretary of the Board

01-12-1989